Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/561,867	BURNOUF ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	ALEXANDER D. KIM	1656
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>amendment filed</u>	
(1) <u>ALEXANDER D. KIM</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>James Voeller</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 31 August 2009	Time: <u>12:22 PM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: NA		
Claims discussed: All pending claims		
Prior art documents discussed: NA		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The Examiner proposed claim amendments for patenability conference (see attached "proposed claims by the Examiner"); and requested to remove SEQ ID NO: 7 because it is a new matter in the specification.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. T of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa 	he examiner will provide a writte record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/David J. Steadman/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1656	(Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)